

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	)	
T-JAT SYSTEMS 2006 LTD.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case No. 1-13-cv-5356 (JMF)
	)	
AMDOCS SOFTWARE SYSTEMS	)	
LIMITED et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	
-----	X	

**DECLARATION OF SANKET J. BULSARA IN OPPOSITION TO**  
**T-JAT’S MOTION TO VACATE AND IN SUPPORT OF**  
**AMDOCS’ CROSS-MOTION TO CONFIRM ARBITRATION AWARD**  
**AND FOR THE AWARD OF COSTS AND ATTORNEYS’ FEES**

I, Sanket J. Bulsara, declare as follows:


1. I am a partner at Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street, New York, NY 10007, and counsel for Defendants Amdocs Software Systems Limited, Amdocs Limited and Amdocs, Inc. (collectively “Amdocs”). I submit this declaration in opposition to T-Jat’s Motion to Vacate a Portion of the Arbitration Award, and in support of Amdocs’ Cross-Motion to Confirm Arbitration Award and for the Award of Costs and Attorneys’ Fees.
2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and accurate copy of the Final Award in *T-Jat Systems 2006 Ltd. v. Amdocs Software Systems Limited et al.*, ICDR Case No. 50 117 T 00845 13, issued on April 18, 2014.

3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and accurate copy of the UC Development Timeline demonstrative presented by Amdocs at the arbitration hearing in *T-Jat Systems 2006 Ltd. v. Amdocs Software Systems Limited et al.*, ICDR Case No. 50 117 T 00845 13.
4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and accurate copy of Amdocs' Post-Hearing Brief submitted in *T-Jat Systems 2006 Ltd. v. Amdocs Software Systems Limited et al.*, ICDR Case No. 50 117 T 00845 13, on March 13, 2014.
5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and accurate copy of Amdocs' Post-Hearing Reply Brief submitted in *T-Jat Systems 2006 Ltd. v. Amdocs Software Systems Limited et al.*, ICDR Case No. 50 117 T 00845 13, on March 21, 2014.
6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and accurate copy of the letter dated May 16, 2014, from Charles B. Manuel, Jr. to Arbitrator Tobey B. Marzouk.
7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and accurate copy of the Disposition of Request for Correction of Award of Arbitrator in *T-Jat Systems 2006 Ltd. v. Amdocs Software Systems Limited et al.*, ICDR Case No. 50 117 T 00845 13, dated June 5, 2014.
8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and accurate copy of Claimant's Pre-Hearing Brief submitted in *T-Jat Systems 2006 Ltd. v. Amdocs Software Systems Limited et al.*, ICDR Case No. 50 117 T 00845 13, on February 14, 2014.
9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 8 is a true and accurate copy of T-Jat's Exhibit No. 387 submitted in the arbitration hearing in *T-Jat Systems 2006 Ltd. v. Amdocs Software Systems Limited et al.*, ICDR Case No. 50 117 T 00845 13.
10. Attached hereto as Exhibit 9 is a true and accurate copy of Amdocs' Exhibit No. 2 submitted in the arbitration hearing in *T-Jat Systems 2006 Ltd. v. Amdocs Software Systems Limited et al.*, ICDR Case No. 50 117 T 00845.

11. Attached hereto as Exhibit 10 is a true and accurate copy of the Rebuttal Expert Report of David S. Linthicum submitted in *T-Jat Systems 2006 Ltd. v. Amdocs Software Systems Limited et al.*, ICDR Case No. 50 117 T 00845 13, on February 13, 2014.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: August 1, 2014

  
Sanket J. Bulsara